



PUBLIC MEETING LONG PINE CREEK WATERSHED PLAN-EA

6 August 2020



Agenda

- Introductions / Sign-in
- Short Presentation
 - Project Scope
 - Analysis
 - Field Data Collection
 - Potential Project Sites
- Group Discussion



Introductions



Mike Murphy, General Manager Chandler Schmidt, Watershed Coordinator



Janel Kaufman, Project Manager, Environmental Charles Ikenberry, Water Quality



Missy Baier, Archeologist



Project Background

PROJECT BOUNDARY



Project Background

SCOPING MEETING



Project Purpose SCOPE

Stream Restoration. Restoring streams can help provide grade stabilization, improve bank stability, improve aquatic habitat, and benefit water quality.





Thinking about Scale of Alternatives

SPREAD-OUT VS. FOCUSED

• Resolution (scale) of solutions

• Parcel level vs. local vs. regional alternatives



SHOTGUN VS. RIFLE



Project Planning



Provid Provid + Instation #Precipromasion+CM



LONG PINE CREEK - WATERSHED YIELD

- Quantifies how much water runs off from an inch of rainfall
- Should be reasonably constant when averaged over a year's rainfall
- Drought vs wet periods much wetter than average since 1976
- Increasing trend until 2002, then decreasing
- Irrigation adds to this runoff, but doesn't account for all of the change (orange line versus blue line).



LONG PINE CREEK vs. NIOBRARA RIVER

- Same trend isn't evident in Niobrara River or other area gages
- Cause isn't meteorological or climatic in nature



Data Analysis GROUNDWATER

• Groundwater increased to about 2000, and has been decreasing ever since



SEDIMENT FLUX

- Sediment is transported from the uplands into the stream through general site erosion
- These sand and gravels are transported through the stream where they get sorted by size (coarsest materials higher up in the watershed)
- During significant flood events, mobile bed streams can become sediment starved as the transport of material exceeds the supply. This exacerbates degradation of the stream channel
- However, this is a cycle for many streams and the streams will begin to aggrade in the future again
- Reducing erosion in the uplands can cause issues in the downstream portions of the streams by eliminating sediment sources.
 - Long Pine Creek no large scale groundwater elevation changes, the above average rainfall over recent decades has increased sediment load to the stream.
- There are side effects to projects

Data Analysis CHANNEL EVOLUTION



Field Data Collection

SITE SELECTION

- Locations identified at the scoping meetings
- Landowner input
- NRCS field office
- MNNRD



Field Data Collection

SITES VISITED



CHANNEL GEOMETRY



MEAN BANKFULL DEPTH = (BANKFULL AREA)/(BANKFULL WIDTH)

WIDTH-TO-DEPTH RATIO = (BANKFULL WIDTH)/(AVERAGE BANKFULL DEPTH)

CHANNEL GEOMETRY



MEANDER PATTERN



DEPOSITIONAL FEATURES

Various Depositional Features Modified from Galay et al. (1973)



CHANNEL SUCCESSION



SEDIMENT CHARACTERISTICS



"SOFT" OR NATURAL APPROACHES



Bank/Bench Shaping

"SOFT" OR NATURAL APPROACHES



Cross Vanes



"SOFT" OR NATURAL APPROACHES





"SOFT" OR NATURAL APPROACHES





"SOFT" OR NATURAL APPROACHES



J-Hooks

Bendways

Stream Stabilization Practices

"HARD" GRADE CONTROL STRUCTURES



Stream Stabilization Practices

"HARD" GRADE CONTROL STRUCTURES



Stream Stabilization Practices

BANK ARMORING/PROTECTION







POTENTIAL PROJECT TYPES BY REACH





NATURAL CHANNEL DESIGN







SMALL CHECK STRUCTURES WITH FISH PASSAGE



SMALL CHECK STRUCTURES WITH FISH PASSAGE



SMALL CHECK STRUCTURES WITH FISH PASSAGE



STEP/POOL STRUCTURES

- Series of step/pool structures
- Confined within channel
- Prevent headcut progression from downstream
- Structures would aggrade over time



LARGE STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES



LARGE STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES



LARGE STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES



LARGE STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES



41

LARGE STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES



HIDDEN PARADISE

• Water Quality

- Ongoing monitoring through NDEE
- Excess sediment in system

• Flooding

- Limited space to work
- Expensive and complicated solutions
- Analysis ongoing



WATERSHED BMPS

Conservation Practices

- Grade stabilization on draws
- Tree/debris clearing
- Riparian buffers

• Utilizing 319 Funds

Water Quality Monitoring

NEBRASKA DEPT. OF ENVIRONTMENT & ENERGY (319)





Water Quality Monitoring

NEBRASKA DEPT. OF ENVIRONTMENT & ENERGY (319)

		Long rine Creek Samping Data Monthly Averages									
		Escherichia coli	(colonies/100mL)	Total suspend	ed solids (mg/L)	Nitrate + Ni	itrite (mg/L)	Phosphate - Phosphorus (mg/L)			
		2020	2009-2018	2020	2009-2018	2020	2009-2018	2020	2009-2019		
Long Pine SRA	May	510	188	89.4	14.4	1.00	1.48	0.57	0.20		
	June	1,986	835	41.0	15.9	1.45	1.46	0.34	0.21		
	July		292		14.1		1.47		0.19		
	August		181		10.6		1.41		0.23		
	September		92		19.3		1.52		0.18		
Long Pine at Riverview	May	1,823		431.3		1.39		0.69			
	June	517		97.5		2.09		0.41			
	July										
	August										
	September										
	May	998		272.5		1.19		0.51			
Long Dino at Dino	June	308		110.0		1.79		0.33			
Long Pine at Pine	July										
Glen	August										
	September										
	May	1,632		239.9		0.57		0.47			
Willow Creek at Camp Witness	June	1,120		136.0		1.55		0.77			
	July										
	August										
	September										

Long Pine Creek Sampling Data Monthly Averages

NOTES

· Sample concentrations will vary with stream flow rates (recent rain events) and may increase during periods of high flow

· Stream flow rates are not available at this time

· When stream flow rates are released, the time series plot for the SRA site will be updated to determine if concentrations are trending upward, or if elevated readings are a function of high flows



AG CONSERVATION PRACTICES





IMPAIRMENTS AND KEY SPECIES



	USE CLASSIFICATION						N							
		Aquatic Water												
				Life			Supply							
Water Body Name	Waterbody ID	State Resource Water	Primary Contact Recreation	Coldwater	Warmwater	Public Drinking Water	Agriculture	Industrial	Aesthetics	Recreation	Nutrient Classification	2014 IR Category	Key Species	
Sand Draw	NI3-12221		x	В			A		x			3	Northern redbelly dace, Pearl dace, Finescale dace, Bluegill	
Bone Creek	NI3-12230			В			А		Х			3		
Bone Creek	NI3-12220		Х	В			А		Х			5	Brook Stickleback	
Long Pine Creek	NI3-12200		х	В			А		х			4A	Brown trout, Rainbow trout, Channel catfish	
Long Pine Creek	NI3-12300	в	х	A			А		x			3	Brook Stickleback, Brown trout, Rainbow trout	
Long Pine Creek	NI3-12400	в	х	A			Α		х			5	Brook Stickleback, Brown trout, Rainbow trout	
Willow Creek	NI3-12310			В			А		Х			2		
Short Pine Creek	NI3-12210			А			A		х			2	Brown trout, Rainbow trout, Channel catfish	
Unnamed	NI3-12222			В			А		Х			3		
Keller Park Lake #1 (SRA)	NI3-L0020				А		А		Х	Х	W	3		
Keller Park Lake #2 (SRA)	NI3-L0030				Α		А		Х	Х	W	2		
Keller Park Lake #3 (SRA)	NI3-L0040				А		А		Х	х	W	3		
Keller Park Lake #4 (SRA)	NI30L0050				A		A		Х	Х	W	3		
Keller Park Lake #5 (SRA)	NI3-L0060			В			А		Х	Х	W	3		
Williams Pond	NI3-L0080				А		А		Х	Х	W	3		



PRIORITY BMPS & IMPLEMENTATION

Table 30: Priority BMPs for the Long Pine Creek Watershed

PMD Turno		ACT Category						
ыми туре	Avoid	Control	Trap					
Non-Structural								
Pet Waste Ordinance	Х							
Onsite Wastewater Treatment System Education and Outreach		Х						
Irrigation Water Management*	Х	Х						
Fertilizer/Nutrient Management*	Х	Х						
Cover Crops*	Х	Х	Х					
Structural								
Riparian Fencing and Alternative Water Sources	Х							
AFO Waste Control	Х	Х						
Stream Stabilization		Х						
Filter Strips		Х	Х					
Detention Basins			Х					

*Additional benefits for groundwater nutrient load

Source: Long Pine Creek WQMP, JEO Consulting Group



PRIORITY BMPS & IMPLEMENTATION

Table 34: Implementation Schedule of Management Actions

	2016	2021	2026	2031	2036		
Activity/BMP to Install, Develop, or Implement	-	-	-	-	-	Total	
	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040		
Work with NRCS to designate priority watersheds/ areas for EQIP program		х	х	х	х		
Riparian Fencing (miles)	30	15	5	5	5	60	
Alternative Livestock Water Sources (units)	100	100	75	75	50	400	
Stream Restoration (miles)	15	15	10	10	4	54	
Filter Strips (treated acres)	5,000	5,000	2,884	2,882	2,882	18,648	
Detention Ponds (units)	30	20	20	15	15	100	
Wet Detention Basins (units)	2	2	2	1	1	8	
Develop & Implement Pet Waste Ordinances	х	Х					
OWTS Upgrades (each)	12	30	30	12	11	95	
Irrigation Management Program (acres)	5,000	10,000	5,000	2000	1310	23,310	
Fertilizer/Nutrient Management Program (acres)	6,500	6,500	6,000	5000	3971	27,971	
Cover Crop Program (acres)	6,500	6,500	6,000	5000	3971	27,971	
NDEQ Rotation Monitoring (2020, 2226, 2032, 2038)	х		х	х	х		
Additional Monitoring		Х	Х	Х	Х		
Watershed Plan Update		Х	Х	Х	Х		

Source: Long Pine Creek WQMP, JEO Consulting Group





Tiered Sites

SITE RANKING AND IDENTIFICATION

- Stakeholder input
- Previous studies / analyses
- LiDAR and aerial analysis
- Watershed BMPs
- Riparian Improvements

Project Schedule

SCHEDULE



Discussion & Questions

